## EX PARTE OR LATE FILED

FAX: (517) 278-2947

City Manager's Office

September 1, 1995 452-WRS-CM

The Honorable Reed Hundt Chairman Federal Communications Commission 1919 M Street, Room 814 Washington, DC 20554

Dear Chairman Hundt:

RE: Satellite Dish Rulemaking IB Docket No. 95-59

We are writing you to oppose your proposed rule to limit the applicability of local zoning and building codes to satellite dishes. Your proposed rule goes much too far and will lead to loss of life and damage to property. The safety of our children and the preservation of property is more important than being able to watch a few more TV channels.

Zoning and land use codes typically limit the structures that can be placed in a front yard so there is a clear vision distance. This allows drivers to see children running into the street, cars backing out of driveways, and the like. Don't give in to the television industry on this one and endanger the lives of our children. No preemption requirements should apply in front yards.

Satellite dishes can substantially reduce property values. This is another reason not to preempt zoning regulations for satellite dishes in a front yard. Your rule would allow people to place dishes in front yards so as to hurt their neighbor's property values, even if they could get adequate reception in the rear yard. If someone is going to take action that would hurt their neighbor's property values, appropriate landscaping requirements are necessary to minimize this. So again, don't preempt local zoning codes for satellite dishes in front yards.

You should not preempt building codes. These requirements are imposed solely to protect buildings and their inhabitants. The requirements can vary significantly from place to

No. of Copies rec'd LSTABODE

MEMBER MICHIGAN MI NICIPAL EFAGUE

The Honorable Reed Hundt Page 2 September 1, 1995

place depending upon the likelihood of hurricanes, tornadoes, large snow falls, earthquakes or winds. You should not preempt local regulations whose sole purpose is to protect the safety of lives and property, particularly when satellite dishes ballasted with thousands of pounds of weights are placed on top of a building.

Finally, you should not preempt the fees typically imposed by municipalities for permits and the like. Such fees are imposed in exactly the same fashion as the FCC does – to recover the costs of issuing permits, process applications and grant approvals.

If you believe such fees are an impediment to the information superhighway, the FCC should start first by waiving all its fees and requirements.

An original and one copy of this letter is being submitted to the Secretary in accordance with 47 C.F.R. § 1.419(b). An additional four copies are being submitted to the Commissioners, and four copies are being served on the International Bureau.

Sincerely,

William R. Stewart

City Manager

WRS/js

cc. Mr. William F. Caton (original and one copy)
Acting Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
1919 M Street, NW
Room 222
Washington, DC 20554

Commissioner James H. Quello Federal Communications Commission 1919 M Street, NW Room 802 Washington, DC 20554

Commissioner Andrew C. Barrett Federal Communications Commission 1919 M Street, NW Room 826 Washington, DC 20554 The Honorable Reed Hundt Page 3 September 1, 1995

> Commissioner Rachelle B. Chong Federal Communications Commission 1919 M Street, NW Room 844 Washington, DC 20554

> Commissioner Susan Ness Federal Communications Commission 1919 M Street, NW Room 832 Washington, DC 20554

> Mr. Scott B. Harris International Bureau Federal Communications Commission 2000 M Street, NW Room 658 Washington, DC 20554

> Mr. Thomas S. Tycz International Bureau Federal Communications Commission 2000 M Street, NW Room 811 Washington, DC 20554

> Ms. Fern J. Jarmulnek
> International Bureau
> Federal Communications Commission
> 2000 M Street, NW
> Room 518
> Washington, DC 20554

Ms. Rosalee Chiara
International Bureau
Federal Communications Commission
2000 M Street, NW
Room 516
Washington, DC 20554

